



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,847	02/04/2002	Ralph E. Bucknam	BA-22810 5161	
178	7590 09/26/2003			
BUCKNAM AND ARCHER			EXAMINER	
ROSLYN, N	HERN BOULEVARD Y 11576		WELLS, NIKITA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2881	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/066,847	BUCKNAM, RALPH E.				
, lavicoly , lower	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nikita Wells	2881	· /+			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondenc add	ress			
FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any parened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exar	miner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/066,847

 G_{I}

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant does not address the issues of the claims or the issues presented by the Examiner in the final rejection (see Paper #9). Refer to Detail Action write up enclosed.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,847

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Reply After Advisory Action

- 1. The Applicant provided a "Final Request for Corrective Action" received June 18, 2003 in reply to the Advisory Action (see Paper #11).
- 2. Applicant's arguments in the "Final Request for Corrective Action" or the "Reply to Final Office Action" where he reiterates the various aspects of his theories and his disenchantment with Einstein's equation of conservation of energy, have been fully considered but they were not found to be persuasive. The Applicant does not address the issues of the claims or the issues presented by the Examiner in the final rejection (see Paper #9). As previously mentioned in Paper #9 and #11, the Examiner does not see any correlation between the theories, as the Chapter 3 on Anti-Matter, as presented in the disclosure to the four claims of the application. Claims 1 and 3 abruptly mention a method and device using a substance from which decay energy is to be extracted, then stored, conditioned, and then applied for a useful purpose. Claims 2 and 4 disclose that the said substance is lodestone and the said energy is to be extracted by a magneto, stored in an electric battery, conditioned by a motor, and then used to propel a vehicle. The Applicant absolutely disregards the questions imposed by the Examiner with reference to the specific means of extracting, storing, conditioning, and applying the decaying energy in order to propel the vehicle.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims 1-4 have been fully considered but they were found not persuasive. Therefore, the rejection as presented in the First Office Action (see paper #7) stand firm.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,847

Art Unit: 2881

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikita Wells whose telephone number is (703) 305-0416. The examiner can normally be reached 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on (703) 308-4116. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Nikita Wells

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2881

Nelieta Itals

September 22, 2003